

UN's IPCC: CLEVER OR DUMBER THAN DUMB?

by Hans Schreuder – June 1, 2018

You decide whether the [climate alarmists are dumber than dumb](#) or in fact rather clever for having been able to embezzle billions of your tax dollars to no result whatsoever.

DUMB ISSUE #1:

According to the “[official energy budget](#)” for planet Earth, as used by the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), our planet as a whole absorbs only 239 W/m^2 of solar energy, which equates to about minus 18°C ! But worse still, due to the surface reflecting some of that energy straight back out again, the net amount on the surface is reckoned to be a only 161 W/m^2 , which equates to a chilly minus 42°C – try and get your head around that!




Fig. 1: Official Earth Energy budget figures with their relevant temperatures

[Latest UN IPCC Earth Energy Budget with similar numbers](#) – making it look like they have really worked hard on this matter, yet the greatest error remains unchanged, see issue #2 below.

The actual solar power that the Sun sends to earth amounts to about 1364 W/m^2 when it arrives at the top of our atmosphere ([TOA](#)), some 20 km above the earth's surface.

Due to the atmosphere itself scattering some solar power back into space plus the effect of clouds and a variety of airborne particles, it is generally agreed that about 30% of that TOA solar power does not reach Earth's surface, so we end up with $1364 \times 0.7 = 954.8 \text{ W/m}^2$ actually penetrating the sunny-side of our Earth. On the Equator, that amount of solar energy would heat the surface to 87°C if there was no atmosphere to cool it instantly!


Now comes the first and most cunning trick of the IPCC climate “scientists”:

Knowing that Earth is actually spherical and that a sphere has [four times the area of a disc](#), climate scientists unfold Earth's spherical surface to a flat plane and then cut solar irradiance by 4 times to compensate.


That division of solar power means that instead of having one half of the Earth in sunlight whilst the other half is in darkness and cooling off (= Reality), those clever “scientists” have recreated planet Earth with a perpetual twilight Sun.

Such a twilight Sun sends us a meagre 239 W/m^2 which equates to a frigid minus 18°C . Worse, when taking surface reflection into account, just 161 W/m^2 remains to heat the surface, bringing it to minus 42°C – all over the Earth, all the time (= Fiction). (That's lower than the average temperature at the [South Pole in February!](#))

Do try and get your head around the kind of scientific nonsense that has been accepted by “scientists” all over the world and has convinced politicians that they need to “do something to prevent the Earth from warming by more than 2°C ...



Reality versus Fiction



I am not making this up. The above depiction of the whole Earth in twilight is EXACTLY what climate “scientists” want you to believe, it is the basis of computer simulations that produce all those scary predictions and what is actually taught in schools and colleges!

You see, mathematically this makes perfect sense to the “experts”, who never step outside of their “thought-bubble” and realise that we have a day and a night which, despite being able to do so mathematically, can not be “averaged” into an ice-ball planet at minus 42°C .

It's the same as calculating the “average” number of children per household, with the result showing that the “average” number of children is 2.4 per household


Have you ever come across such a child?!

What about the idea of cooking a meal for 6 hours at 10°C rather than 1 hour at 60°C . Mathematically it's the same amount of power!

Not everything can be converted in a meaningful manner to an “average” or a “total power” number just because it can be so “calculated”.

DUMB ISSUE #2:

IPCC alarmists and others now use their second trick in order to compensate for that alarmingly cold surface. They describe a magical “[greenhouse effect](#)” in which the atmosphere sends TWICE as much energy to the surface than the Sun does – a whopping 342 W/m² in the [latest IPCC's Earth Energy Budget](#)



This plumbing diagram illustrates the absurdity of what IPCC “scientists” are proposing:

Can you see what they have done?

The secret though is that “mathematically” you can conjure up anything you like *in between* the energy going into a system and the energy coming out of that system.

If these scientists imagine that OUT must match IN, but anything can happen in between, then why not a million W/m²? And why don't we see power plants based on such a plan?


DUMB ISSUE #3:

The above mentioned “[greenhouse effect](#)” is so deeply entrenched that even so-called “[skeptics](#)” agree that it exists! Worse still, the villain in the IPCC climate scientists' plot, carbon dioxide (CO₂) – now in the guise of a thermal pollutant – enhances the “[greenhouse effect](#)”, by amplifying that magical back-radiation [beyond human endurance](#).

Many people believe that the non-existent “[greenhouse effect](#)” is “like a blanket that keeps Earth warmer than it would be”.

A blanket merely holds heat in, however, while the magical back-radiation from added CO₂ is regarded as a second heat source, making it equivalent to an electric blanket!

Energy is thus created out of nothing or better said “out of thin air” which is what the official diagrams all show – energy that is much greater than the Sun's coming down from the atmosphere!



Again, the reason that such a “[greenhouse effect](#)” is invented is because the “scientists” have taken an “average” satellite reading of the energy that Earth sends into space - an energy that amounts to 239 W/m² - and assume that this is equivalent to a twilight Sun shining 24 hours all over the Earth at the same time, making the Earth's “average” temperature a cold minus 18°C.

There is however another “average” temperature for the Earth, based on a few thousand weather stations, at about 1.5 metres off the ground, spread out over the 30% or so of the Earth's solid surface, but almost none in the oceans that cover some 70% of Earth's surface and hardly any in the Arctic and Antarctica – this conveniently produces an “average” Earth temperature of some 15°C.

So, to sum up the situation, satellites give Earth an “average” temperature of minus 18°C and the weather stations give earth a “average” temperature of 15°C and that brings us to the third absurdity of needing a “[greenhouse effect](#)” that makes Earth a whopping 33°C “warmer than it should be”.


Pretty powerful stuff this human produced carbon dioxide!

DUMB ISSUE #4:

According the official UN's IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) documentation, the amount of [CO₂ that humans produce](#) constitutes 3 – 5 % of the total CO₂ in the atmosphere – get a hold on that: 3 – 5 % of the total CO₂ is from human origin and that small amount is so powerful that it can warm the whole Earth up by at least 2°C if we keep on adding more of it to the atmosphere.

DUMB ISSUE #5:

According to some [UN's IPCC documentation](#) CO₂ remains in the atmosphere for up to 100 years yet [other IPCC documentation](#) on page 469 states: “**The removal of human-emitted CO₂ from the atmosphere by natural processes will take a few hundred thousand years (high confidence). Depending on the RCP scenario considered, about 15 to 40% of emitted CO₂ will remain in the atmosphere longer than 1,000 years. This very long time required by sinks to remove anthropogenic CO₂ makes climate change caused by elevated CO₂ irreversible on human time scale.**”, but much less according to [many other sources](#):



Here is a graphic illustration of the likely [scenario](#).

CONCLUSION:

All the above absurdities are the only cause for climate alarm despite each and every dumb issue having been scientifically debunked within the literature.

Time has come for you to decide whether human produced CO₂ is a real threat to the planet or whether those climate “scientists” have sold their souls to the dark side of that same United Nations, to wit, [Agenda 21](#) and [similar](#) documentation, for money and the sheer power of controlling the masses.


Is it all about downsizing the developed world, keeping the underdeveloped nations locked in an energy-poor economy based on near-useless [solar power](#) plants and [wind turbines](#)?

The “carbon footprint” of those allegedly “green” energy generators is frighteningly huge and the recycling of those installations [a veritable nightmare](#) awaiting future generations.

And for what? *Nothing*.

YOU DECIDE

If you agree, send this on to your local politicians and ask them to verify the facts laid bare here for the world to see. Below is a range of web sites with hundreds of scientific papers and essays that contain all the relevant and genuine scientific reasons as to why climate alarm over human CO₂ is a hoax – each and every “climate alarm” scenario has been effectively debunked.



<http://ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/>

<http://www.tech-know-group.com/>

<http://climateofsophistry.com/>

<http://principia-scientific.org/>

<http://climatechangedispatch.com>

<http://ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/carbondioxide.html>

<http://principia-scientific.org/two-new-studies-destroy-climate-crisis-greenhouse-gas-carbon-claims/>

http://tech-know-group.com/essays/Are_They_All_Wrong_Then.pdf

With thanks to [Alan Siddons](#) for most of the graphics herein.



Someone has to keep an eye on the BS coming out of the UN's IPCC

